		Ohio Invasive Plan	t Assassment D	rotocol 2015				
	Botanical Name: Common Name: Family Name:	Achyranthes japonica Japanese chaff flower Amaranthaceae	Step Outcome: Step I Score:	Continue 42		Score	Notes	References
	Assessment conductor	•	Step II Outcome:	Pending Further Review				
	1. Is this plant know	"X" in the Score column next to the selected answ n to occur in the state and listed as "noxious" or Department of Agriculture plant list?	Vec Place on invasio	re plant list, no further investigation needed. STOP		х		
	•	nonstrated widespread dispersion and igh numbers of individuals forming dense	Yes. Place on invasiv	re plant list, no further investigation needed. STOP			Species occurs in 2 regions (4 & 5), but information on	7
	stands) in natural ar	eas across two or more regions in Ohio?a	No. Continue on to a	question 3.		Χ	individual populations is lacking.	•
Step I	cultivation in Ohio a	rm self-replicating populations outside of nd is it documented to alter the composition, processes or functions of a natural ecosystem?	Yes No Unknown			x		
			Yes					
		as invasive in an adjoining state or a nearby stat	No			х		2,3,4,5,6
	east of the Mississip	pi within the USDA Plant Hardiness zones 5-6? ^{b,c}	Unknown					
	1611							
		or botn questions 3 and 4, tne plant is placed on the ir plant is not considered invasive and no further investi		urther research is needed. Stop here. If the answer is no j herwise, proceed to Step II.	for both			
			II: Invasion Status					
	1. Current Invasion in plant is not found plant is found in r plant is only expa	in natural areas (0 pts.) natural areas but only because it persist from pre nding from sites of previous planting (1 pt.) tural areas away from site of planting (3 pts.)				3		9,12
	2. State Distribution	1						
	 plant is not natura plant is naturalize 	alized in any region of Ohio (0 pts.) d in only one region in Ohio (1 pt.) d in two regions in Ohio (2 pts.) d in three regions in Ohio (3 pts.) d in four regions in Ohio (4 pts.) d in five regions in Ohio (5 pts.)				2	Regions 4 & 5, along the Ohio River (spread from adjoining states)	9,12
	3. Regional/US Distr	bution						
	 plant is not consic plant has been re plant has been re plant has been re 	ered to be a problem in any other state (0 pts.) ported as a widespread problem in another non- ported to be a widespread problem in 1-2 adjoini ported to be a widespread problem in 3 or more ported to be a widespread problem in similar hab	ng states (3 pts.) adjoining states (5 pts .	.)		1	It is currently found in nine states (WV, KY, OH, IN, IL, MO, TN, AL, GA). Wisconsin has proposed the plant be prohibited. 11: species is found in Alabama, Georgia, Illinois, Kentucky, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee, and West Virginia. [This score is conservative and will likely increase with time.]	9,10,11
		Step II:	Biological Character	s				
	•	duction				3	9: Stems can be broken by flooding and seed-bearing stems buried in silt can "result in dense patches of seedlings". A	q

	 fragments easily and fragments can be easily dispersed (4 pts.) has runners or spreading rhizomes that root easily AND fragments easily and fragments can be easily dispersed (5 pts.) Information unknown (U) 	3	previous year's stem can survive the winter. [This score is conservative.]	9
	5. Sexual Reproduction			
	- no sexual reproduction (0 pts.)		Our procing is a personnial with a subset of plants in each	
	- infrequent sexual reproduction (1 pt.)		9: species is a perennial with a subset of plants in each population flowering each year. An individual plant can	
	- frequent sexual reproduction, but high variation among years in seed production (3 pts.)	3	produce more than 1,000 seeds. 11: the plant can produce	8,9,11
	- frequent sexual reproduction (one or more events per year) (5 pts.) - Information unknown (U)		up to 16,000 seeds per square meter.	
	- mornaton unknown (d)			
	6. Number of Viable Seeds or Propagules per Plant			
	- few (0-10) (1 pt.)		9: An infestation in Cypress Creek National Wildlife Refuge	
	- moderate (11-1,000) (3 pts.)	5	was observed to produce nearly 100% viable seed, with	8,9
	- prolific (>1,000) (5 pts.)		65% of collected seeds germinating in initial tests.	
	- Information unknown (U)			
	7. Flowering Period			
	- one month or less per year (0 pts.)			
	- two months (1 pt.)			
	- three to five months (2 pts.)	2	9:"late summer to early fall"	9
	- longer than five months (3 pts.)			
	- Information unknown (U)			
	8. Dispersal Ability			
	- low potential for long-distance seed/propagule dispersal (>1km) (0 pts.)			
	- medium potential for long-distance seed/propagule dispersal (3 pts.)	5	Seeds have stiff bracts that attach to fur and cloth, and can	8,9
	- high potential for long-distance seed/propagule dispersal (5 pts.)	3	be dispersed through waterways (especially flooding).	0,5
	- Information unknown (U)			
	9. Generation Time			
	- long juvenile period (>5 or more years for trees, 3 or more years for other growth forms) (0 pts.)			
=	- short juvenile period (<5 years for trees, <3 years for other forms) (3 pts.)		Species is a perennial, and can take one to two years to	
_		3	reach flowering size from seed. 9: sizes of flowering and	8,9
<u>a</u>			non-flowering individuals in the field suggests that "it may take one or two years to reach flowering size from seed".	
Step	- Information unknown (U)		take one of two years to reach nowering size from seed.	
0,	10. Establishment			
	- unable to invade natural areas (0 pts.)			
	- can only colonize certain habitat stages (e.g. early successional habitats) (1 pt.)		8:"dense infestations have been found in bottomland	
	- aggressively colonizes and establishes in edge habitats (3 pts.)	6	forests, riverbanks, field edges and ditches". 11: species	8,9,11
	- aggressively colonizes and establishes in intact and healthy natural areas (6 pts.)		can easily invade areas and displace native species.	
	- Information unknown (U)			
	Charlly Fasherinel Imparators			
	Step II: Ecological Importance 11. Impact on Ecosystem Processes			
	- no known effect on ecosystem-level processes (0 pts.)		Species creates vigorous root systems and dense	
	- moderate effects on ecosystem-level processes (e.g., changes in nutrient cycling)(3 pts.)	U	populations, but its ecological impacts have not been	
	- causes long-term, substantial alterations in the ecosystem (e.g., changing fire regime of an area, changing hydrology of wetlands) (6 pts.)		studied.	
	12. Impact on Rare Organisms			
	- no known negative impact on Ohio State-listed or federal-listed plants or animals (0 pts.) - negatively impacts listed species, such as through displacement or interbreeding (3 pts.)	0		
	- negatively impacts instea species, such as through displacement of interpretating (5 pts.)			
	13. Impact on Native Animals			
	- no known negative impact on animals (0 pts.)	0		
	- documented direct or indirect negative effects on animal taxa (3 pts.)	U		
	14. Impact on Native Plants		Q-"Pacausa it forms done populations and group tall -1-ff	
	- no known negative effects on native plants (0 pts.) - negatively impacts some native plants (increasing their mortality and/or recruitment of certain taxa) (3 pts.)		9:"Because it forms dense populations and grows tall, chaff flower competes with other floodplain species and likely	
	negatively impacts some native plants (indeasing tren mortality and/or rectalitizent or tertain taxa) (3 pts.)	3	shades many out." 11: species can displace native plant	9,11
	- impacts native plants to such an extent that community structure is greatly altered (6 pts.)		species.	

15. Hybridization

- no known instances of hybridization with other plant species (0 pts.)
- can hybridize with native Ohio plants or commercially-available species, but seeds are inviable (1 pt.)
- can hybridize with native Ohio plants or commercially-available species, producing viable seed (3 pts.)

16. Population Density

- occurs only as small, sporadic populations or individuals (1 pt.)
- typically forms small, monospecific patches (3 pts.)
- is a dominant plant in area where population occurs (absolute cover 15-50%) (4 pts.)
- forms an extensive, monospecific stand (absolute cover >50%) (5 pts.)

17. Role in Succession in Natural Areas

- successional information is unknown (0 pts.)
- is an early successional species that temporarily invades a disturbed site but does not persist as the site matures (0 pts.)
- readily invades disturbed sites and persists, but does not interfere with succession (1 pt.)
- readily invades disturbed sites, persists and interferes with succession of native plants (4 pts.)

18. Number of Habitats Invaded

Forestlands: Floodplain forest, hemlock-hardwood forest, mixed mesophytic forest, beech-maple forest, oak-maple forest,

Grasslands: Alvar*, beach-dune community*, bur oak savanna*, slough-grass-bluejoint prairie*, sand barren*, big bluestem prairie, little bluestem prairie (xeric limestone prairie*+), post oak opening*+

Wetlands: Bog*, fen*, twigrush-wiregrass wet prairie*, marsh, buttonbush swamp, mixed shrub swamp, hemlock-hardwood swamp*, maple-ash-oak swamp, white pine-red maple swamp*

- * Considered a rare plant community in Ohio by ODW's Biodiversity Database Program.
- + = xeric limestone prairies or cedar glades and post oak openings are unique to the Interior Low Plateau Region of Adams, Highland and Pike counties, and are not included in Schneider and Cochrane (1997).
- not found in any natural habitats in Ohio (0 pts.)
- only found in 1 broad category (1 pt.)
- found in 2 broad categories or 2 rare habitat types (3 pts.)
- found in 3 broad categories or 3 rare habitat types (4 pts.)
- found in 4 or more rare habitat types (5 pts.)

9: flooded forests, edges, roadside ditches, logging roads, 1 pavement cracks

8.9

8.9

Total Score: 42 **Number of Unknowns:** 2

Pending Further Outcome: Review

Total Points	Assessment Decision				
4 or more U	Insufficient Data				
0-34	Not Known to be Invasive				
35-44	Pending Further Review				
45-80	Invasive				

9:"Infestations can reach densities over 70 plants per

very wide with many stems and side branches)."

confirmation.

square meter (very dense considering each plant can be

Species was first observed in the 1980s in Kentucky, and

has since spread to 9 states. The species' ability to form

dense monocultures and disperse quickly through floods and human activities will potentially impact succession in natural areas, but further research is needed for